

KEYWORD INDEX FOR CASE SUMMARIES
January 1 – October 1, 2003

--A--

Abandonment

Six consecutive month requirement not applicable to TPR based on ground of neglect, only for willful abandonment, *In re Humphrey*
Willful abandonment and incarceration, *In re Stovall*

Adjudication

Findings sufficient to support, *In re Hinshaw*

Appeals Procedure (see also this index “Standard of appellate review”)

Curing defects, Rule 2, *In re Baker*
Failure to assign error, *In re Morales*
Failure to object waives issue, *In re Morales*
Insufficient record, *In re Betts*
Mootness, appeal of adjudication mooted by subsequent TPR, *In re Stratton*
Notice of appeal, filing of appellate entries does not substitute for, *In re Laney*
Notice of appeal, lack of, brief struck, *In re Stratton*
Notice of appeal, timeliness, *In re Laney*
Transcript, indecipherable audiotapes, timeliness, *In re Burkes*

--B--

Best Interests

In termination case, *In re Giles; In re Liberato*

--C--

Case Closure

Case can be closed when custody returned to a parent (either parent), *In re Resor*

Child Custody Order

Generally, *In re Davis II*
And case closure, *In re Resor*

Child Witness

Not hearsay when not offered to prove truth of matter asserted, *In re Derreberry; State v. Shepherd*

Constitutional Rights – See this index “Fifth Amendment,” “Due Process,” “Fourth Amendment”

Continuances

Generally, *In re Clayton*
Burden of proof, *In re Humphrey*

Court Authority

Different judges for adjudication and disposition not error, *In re Davis I*

Court Reports

Consideration of GAL/DSS reports proper in permanency planning hearing, *In re Ivey*

Criminal Statute

Indecent liberties, unnecessary to be in physical presence, *State v. Every*
Felony child abuse, *State v. Liberato*

--D--

Deference to Trial Court

Generally, *In re Doyle; In re Mason; In re Sims; In re Smith; In re Morales; in re Ascencio*

Discovery

In camera inspection, requirements, *In re Clayton*

Disposition

Not necessary to have separate hearing from adjudication, *In re Vaughn*

Disposition Order

Not error to base upon summary order of adjudication, *In re Davis I*

Order changing permanent plan as final appealable order, *In re Weiler*

Domestic Violence

As support for continued nonsecure custody, *In re Schoen*

Due Process

Procedural due process not impaired, *In re Padgett*

--E--

Evidence

Experts – see “Expert Witness” below

Hearsay - see “Hearsay” below

Evidentiary Standard

Relevance, in best interests phase of TPR, *In re Ascencio*

Rules of Evidence not applicable in dispositional and review hearings, *In re Souther*

Sufficient facts presented to meet requisite standard, *In re Doyle; In re Gainey; In re Kale; In re Thompson*

Expert Witness

Proper foundation, *State v. Shepherd*

Qualification, generally, *In re Derreberry*

Testimony, corroborating child’s, not hearsay, *In re Lint; State v. Shepherd*

--F--

Fifth Amendment

Generally, *In re Derreberry*

Acknowledgement of responsibility is not self-incrimination, *In re Derreberry*

Applies only to criminal cases, *In re Derreberry*

Parent ordered into therapy does not violate, *In re Derreberry*

Final Appealable Order

Change of permanent plan to termination is appealable, *In re Weiler*

Temporary disposition not an appealable order, *In re Laney*

Findings of Fact

Incorporation of DSS/GAL reports alone not sufficient, *In re RTW*

Insufficiency, generally, *In re Harto; In re Hopkins; In re Ledbetter; In re RTW; In re Weiler*

Insufficient to take judicial notice of proceedings below, *In re Betts*

Sufficient, *In re Heck, In re Hobson; In re Redmon; In re Souther; In re Yocum; in re Hinshaw*

Fourth Amendment

Discussion of governmental intrusion into private residence, *In re Stumbo*

--G--

Guardian ad Litem

Appointment for parent mandatory, *In re Estes*

--H--

Hearsay

Business records exception, *In re Smith*
Child's out of court statements not hearsay when not offered to prove truth of matter asserted, *In re Derreberry*
Child witness, competency, *In re Lint*
Expert testimony corroborating child's, not hearsay, *In re Lint*
Medical diagnosis exception, *In re Lint*

--I--

Incarceration

Alone, does not excuse neglect, *In re Hendren*
And willful abandonment, *In re Stovall*

Indecent Liberties

Unnecessary to be in physical presence to find indecent liberties, *State v. Every*

--J--

Judicial Notice

Improper to take judicial notice of proceedings below, *In re Betts*

Jurisdiction - See this index "Personal Jurisdiction," "Subject Matter Jurisdiction"

--L--

--M--

Munchausen Syndrome by Proxy

Generally, *In re McCabe*

Mootness of Appeal

Appeal of adjudication mooted after TPR entered, *In re Stratton*

--N--

Neglect

Grounds, generally, *In re Padgett; In re Shermer; In re Sims*
Domestic violence as ground, *In re Schoen*
Incarceration alone does not excuse neglect, *In re Hendren*
Likelihood of continued neglect, *In re Valdez; In re Yocum; In re Foster*
Prior adjudication of neglect as grounds for TPR, *In re Northington*

Non-interference Order

Anonymous report insufficient to support, *In re Stumbo*

Notice and Service of Process

Notice requirements for TPR, *In re Alexander*

--O--

Orders – See this index "Child custody order," "Disposition order," "Final Appealable Order," "Non-interference Order," "Termination of Parental Rights Order"

--P--

Personal Jurisdiction

Child born post-petition, no jurisdiction without additional petition, *In re Ivey*

--R--

Reasonable Efforts

Not applicable when continued custody not with DSS, *In re Padgett*

Reasonable Progress

Limited progress not reasonable progress, *In re Baker*

Insufficient time given parent to show, *In re Shermer*

Time period under *In re Pierce* case, *In re Shermer*

Reporting Abuse or Neglect

Anonymous report insufficient to support non-interference order, *In re Stumbo*

--S--

Service of Process – See “Notice and Service of Process”

Sexual abuse

Generally, *In re Derreberry*

Evidence supported finding, *In re Morales*

Standard of Appellate Review

Generally, *In re Carter; In re Gainey*

Plain error not applicable to civil cases, *In re Derreberry; In re Souther*

Subject Matter Jurisdiction

Generally, *In re Clark*

Petition failing to seek relief does not create subject matter jurisdiction, *In re McKinney*

Service on one parent sufficient to establish, *In re Poole*

Under UCCJEA, *Foley v. Foley; In re Poole*

Substance Abuse

Alcoholism alone does not preclude finding of willfulness, *In re Hensley*

--T--

Termination of Parental Rights

Generally, *In re Doyle; In re Graham*

Amendment of petition to conform to evidence, *In re Crippen*

Best interests, generally, *In re Giles; In re Liberato; In re Foster*

Best interests, relevant evidence, *In re Ascencio*

Grounds, generally, *In re Crippen; In re Hutchins; In re Kale; In re Oxendine*

Grounds, neglect, abandonment, six consecutive months not applicable, *In re Humphrey*

Grounds, neglect, likelihood of continuing, *In re Valdez; In re Foster*

Grounds, neglect, prior adjudication, *In re Northington*

Notice requirements, *In re Alexander*

Termination of Parental Rights Order

Not error to incorporate prior orders and other documents when sufficient findings of fact are made, *In re Heck*

Time requirements

Order not entered within required 30 days harmless error, *In re Hobson*

Permanency planning hearing not held within required time not error when delay was for benefit of parent, *In re Hobson*

Transcript

Allegedly incomplete, *In re Clark*

Timelines; undecipherable tape recordings, *In re Burkes*

--U--

UCCJEA

Subject matter jurisdiction, generally, *Foley v. Foley; In re Poole*

Subject matter jurisdiction, service on one parent sufficient to establish, *In re Poole*

Omission of required language in petition, cured in order, *In re Humphrey*

--V--

Visitation

Grant to father of one child for both children proper, *In re Davis I*

--W--

Willfulness

Generally, *In re Hensley*

Alcoholism alone does not preclude finding of willfulness, *In re Hensley*

Witnesses – see also “Expert Witness”

--X--

--Y--

--Z--